arXiv:1604.01970v1 [math.AG] 7 Apr 2016

# FOUR GENERATED 4-INSTANTONS

CRISTIAN ANGHEL, IUSTIN COANDĂ AND NICOLAE MANOLACHE

Abstract. We show that there exist mathematical 4-instanton bundles $F$ on the projective 3-space such that $F(2)$ is globally generated (by four global sections). This is equivalent to the existence of elliptic space curves of degree 8 defined by quartic equations. There is a (possibly incomplete) intersection theoretic argument for the existence of such curves in D'Almeida [Bull. Soc. Math. France 128 (2000), 577–584] and another argument, using results of Mori [Nagoya Math. J. 96 (1984), 127–132], in Chiodera and Ellia [Rend. Istit. Univ. Trieste 44 (2012), 413–422]. Our argument is quite different. We prove directly the former fact, using the method of Hartshorne and Hirschowitz [Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. (4) 15 (1982), 365–390] and the geometry of five lines in the projective 3-space.

A mathematical $n$-instanton bundle on $\mathbb{P}^3$ ($n$-instanton, for short) is a rank 2 vector bundle $F$ on $\mathbb{P}^3$, with $c_1(F) = 0$, $c_2(F) = n$, such that $\mathrm{H}^i(F(-2)) = 0$, $i = 0, \ldots, 3$ (since $c_1(F) = 0$ one has $F \simeq F^\vee$ hence, by Serre duality, $\mathrm{h}^i(F(-2)) = \mathrm{h}^{3-i}(F(-2))$, $i = 0$, 1, which implies that $\chi(F(-2)) = 0$). Let us recall that if $Y$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^3$ of dimension 1 then $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{O}_Y(-2)) \neq 0$ (if $C$ is a reduced and irreducible closed subscheme of $Y$ of dimension 1 then the map $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{O}_Y(-2)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{O}_C(-2))$ is surjective and $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{O}_C(-2)) \neq 0$ because $\chi(\mathscr{O}_C(-2)) < 0$ by Riemann-Roch on $C$; this argument, which appears in the proof of [10, Lemma 1], was suggested by C. Bănică). It follows that if $Y$ is locally complete intersection with $\omega_Y \simeq \mathscr{O}_Y(m)$ then $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{O}_Y(m+2)) = \mathrm{h}^0(\omega_Y(2)) = \mathrm{h}^1(\mathscr{O}_Y(-2)) > 0$. One deduces easily that if $\mathrm{H}^0(F(-1)) \neq 0$ then $F \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)$ (hence $n = -1$), and if $\mathrm{H}^0(F(-1)) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(F) \neq 0$ then $F \simeq 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ (hence $n = 0$). If $\mathrm{H}^0(F) = 0$ then $F$ is stable hence $n \geq 1$. Examples of $n$-instantons are the bundles that can be obtained as extensions:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_{n+1}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

where $L_1, \ldots, L_{n+1}$ are mutually disjoint lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$. For $n \leq 2$, all $n$-instantons can be obtained in this way. This is no longer true for $n \geq 3$.

We are concerned with the problem of the global generation of twists of instantons. It is well known that if $F$ is an $n$-instanton then $F$ is $n$-regular hence $F(n)$ is globally generated (the argument is recalled in [1, Remark 4.7]). Gruson and Skiti [5] showed that if $F$ is a 3-instanton having no jumping line of maximal order 3 then $F(2)$ is globally generated. Our aim here is to prove the following:

**Proposition 1.** *There exist 4-instantons $F$ on $\mathbb{P}^3$ such that $F(2)$ is globally generated.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary:14J60; Secondary: 14H50, 14N20.

*Key words and phrases.* projective space, mathematical instanton bundle, globally generated sheaf.

It is shown in [1, Remark 6.4] that if $F$ is a 4-instanton with $F(2)$ globally generated then $\mathrm{H}^0(F(1)) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(F(2)) = 0$ (hence $\mathrm{h}^0(F(2)) = 4$). It follows that the 4-instantons $F$ with $F(2)$ globally generated form a nonempty open subset of the moduli space of 4-instantons.

To see that the result stated above is nontrivial we recall that, by a theorem of Rahavandrainy [11], a general 4-instanton $F$ admits a minimal free resolution of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-5) \longrightarrow 10\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4) \longrightarrow 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \oplus 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

A proof of Prop. 1 was given by Chiodera and Ellia [2]. They show that there exist elliptic curves $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^3$ of degree 8 with $\mathscr{I}_X(4)$ globally generated and construct $F$ as an extension:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X(2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

The proof of the existence of such elliptic curves uses results of Mori [8] (in particular, it uses the fact that there exist nonsingular quartic surfaces $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ with $\operatorname{Pic}\Sigma = \mathbb{Z}H \oplus \mathbb{Z}C$, where $H$ denotes a plane section and $C$ is an elliptic curve of degree 8). Another argument for the existence of this kind of elliptic curves appears in D'Almeida [3] but it seems to be incomplete, according to Chiodera and Ellia [2, Remark 2.11].

We prove Prop. 1 in a quite different, more elementary, way using the method of Hartshorne and Hirschowitz [7]. The key point of our proof is the following:

**Lemma 2.** *Let $L_1, \ldots, L_5$ be mutually disjoint lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ such that their union admits no 5-secant. Then there exist epimorphisms:*

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_5}(3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Assuming this lemma, for the moment, let us give the

*Proof of Prop. 1.* Let $L_1, \ldots, L_5$ be five mutually disjoint lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ such that their union $Y$ admits no 5-secant. One considers, following [7], the torsion free sheaf $\mathscr{F}_0 = \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{I}_Y(1)$. It is classically known that $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{I}_Y(3)) = 0$ (we recall the argument, for completeness, in the proof of Lemma 5 below). It follows that $\mathscr{F}_0$ is 3-regular. Moreover, $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{F}_0(3)) = 20$. $\mathscr{F}_0$ corresponds to a point $q_0$ of Grothendieck's *Quot scheme* $Q$ parametrizing the quotients a $20\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)$ having the same Hilbert polynomial as $\mathscr{F}_0$. By [7, §4], $Q$ is nonsingular at $q_0$. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be the universal family on $\mathbb{P}^3 \times Q$ (such that $\mathscr{E}_{q_0} \simeq \mathscr{F}_0$) and let $Q_0$ be the irreducible component of $Q$ containing $q_0$.

Consider, now, an epimorphism $\sigma : \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \to \mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ and let $\mathscr{G}$ be the cokernel of the composite morphism:

$$\operatorname{Ker}\sigma \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \longrightarrow 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}\,.$$

Using the exact sequence $0 \to \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \to 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \to 0$, one sees easily that $\mathscr{G}$ can be realized as an extension:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y(3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

$\mathscr{G}$ is 1-regular (because $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ is) and globally generated (it is a quotient of $4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$). Since, by the universal property of the Quot scheme, there exists a canonical morphism:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1), \mathscr{I}_Y(1)) \longrightarrow Q_0$$

(here $\mathrm{Ext}^1$ is regarded as an affine space) it follows that there exist points $q \in Q_0$ such that $\mathscr{E}_q(2)$ is 1-regular and globally generated. These points form a dense open subset of $Q_0$.

On the other hand, since there exists a canonical morphism:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathscr{I}_Y(1), \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)) \longrightarrow Q_0$$

it follows that there exist points $q \in Q_0$ such that $\mathscr{E}_q$ is locally free, with $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{E}_q) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{E}_q(-2)) = 0$. These points form an open subset of $Q_0$.

If $q$ is a point in the intersection of these two open subsets of $Q_0$ then $\mathscr{E}_q$ is a 4-instanton with $\mathscr{E}_q(2)$ globally generated. □

The proof of Lemma 2 will occupy the rest of the paper. We begin by recalling some easy lemmata.

**Lemma 3.** *Let $L_1, \ldots, L_4$ be mutually disjoint lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ such that their union is not contained in a quadric surface. Let $Q$ (resp., $Q'$) be the quadric surface containing $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$ (resp., $L_2 \cup L_3 \cup L_4$). If $L_4 \cap Q$ consists of two points, put $X = L \cup L'$, where $L$ and $L'$ are the lines from the other ruling of $Q$ containing those points. If $L_4 \cap Q$ consists of only one point, denote by $X$ the divisor $2L$ on $Q$, where $L$ is the line from the other ruling of $Q$ containing that point. Then, as schemes:*

$$Q \cap Q' = L_2 \cup L_3 \cup X\,.$$

*Proof.* As divisors on $Q$, $Q \cap Q' = L_2 + L_3 + \Lambda + \Lambda'$, where $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda'$ are (not necessarily distinct) lines from the other ruling of $Q$. Since $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda'$ must intersect $L_4$ (because they intersect $L_2$ and $L_3$ and are contained in $Q'$) the assertion follows. □

**Lemma 4.** *Under the hypothesis of Lemma 3, the ideal sheaf of $L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_4 \cup X$ has a resolution of the form:*

$$0 \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4) \longrightarrow 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_4 \cup X} \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

*Proof.* Let us denote $L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_4 \cup X$ by $Z$. Let $\Lambda \subset Q$ (resp., $\Lambda' \subset Q'$) be a line from the same ruling of $Q$ (resp., $Q'$) as the lines from the support of $X$ but not intersecting $X$. Let $H$ (resp., $H'$) be the plane spanned by $L_1$ and $\Lambda$ (resp., $L_4$ and $\Lambda'$). One has:

$$(Q \cup H') \cap (Q' \cup H) = Z \cup \Lambda \cup \Lambda' \cup (H \cap H')\,.$$

One can choose $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda'$ such that they are, moreover, disjoint. Both of them intersect the line $H \cap H'$. It follows that $Z' := \Lambda \cup \Lambda' \cup (H \cap H')$ is a divisor of type $(2,1)$ on a nonsingular quadric surface (take a line $\Lambda''$ disjoint from $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda'$ but intersecting $H \cap H'$) hence it is linked to a line by a complete intersection of type $(2,2)$. One can apply, now, D. Ferrand's result on resolutions under liaison (see [9, Prop. 2.5]). □

**Lemma 5.** *Let $L_1, \ldots, L_5$ be mutually disjoint lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ such that their union $Y$ admits no 5-secant. Then $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathscr{I}_Y(3)) = 0$, $i = 0, 1$.*

*Proof.* Let $Q$ be the nonsingular quadric surface containing $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$. Since $Y$ has no 5-secant, none of the lines $L_4$ and $L_5$ is contained in $Q$ hence the scheme $\Gamma := Q \cap (L_4 \cup L_5)$ is 0-dimensional of length 4.

Now, since $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(3)) = 20 = \mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{O}_Y(3))$ it suffices to show that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Y(3)) = 0$. Assume that $Y$ is contained in a cubic surface $\Sigma$. $Q$ cannot be a component of $\Sigma$ because $L_4 \cup L_5$ is not contained in a plane. It follows that $\Sigma$ intersects $Q$ properly hence, as divisors on $Q$,

$$\Sigma \cap Q = L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_1' + L_2' + L_3'$$

where $L_1'$, $L_2'$, $L_3'$ are (not necessarily distinct) lines from the other ruling of $Q$. One deduces that $\Gamma$ must be a subscheme of the (effective) divisor $L_1' + L_2' + L_3'$. But $Y$ has no 5-secant hence the scheme $L_i' \cap \Gamma = L_i' \cap (L_4 \cup L_5)$ is empty or consists of a simple point, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Since $\Gamma$ has length 4, one gets a *contradiction.* □

**Lemma 6.** *Let $L_1, \ldots, L_5$ be mutually disjoint lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$. For $1 \leq i < j < l \leq 5$, let $Q_{ijl}$ be the unique quadric surface containing $L_i \cup L_j \cup L_l$. If $L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_5$ admits no 5-secant then, as schemes:*

$$Q_{125} \cap Q_{235} \cap Q_{345} = L_5 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3$$

*where $\Gamma_i$ is a subscheme of length 2 of $L_i$, $i = 2, 3$.*

*Proof.* Since $L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_5$ admits no 5-secant the union of any four of the five lines is not contained in a quadric surface. According to Lemma 3 one has, as schemes:

$$Q_{125} \cap Q_{235} = L_2 \cup L_5 \cup X\,, \quad Q_{235} \cap Q_{345} = L_3 \cup L_5 \cup X'$$

where $X$ (resp., $X'$) is the divisorial sum on $Q_{125}$ (resp., $Q_{235}$) of the two (maybe coinciding) 4-secants of $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3 \cup L_5$ (resp., $L_2 \cup L_3 \cup L_4 \cup L_5$). Since $L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_5$ admits no 5-secant one has $X \cap X' = \emptyset$. Putting $\Gamma_2 := L_2 \cap X'$ and $\Gamma_3 = L_3 \cap X$, the result follows. □

**Remark 7.** We describe, here, explicitly some special morphisms $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$ that we shall use in the proof of Lemma 2 and record their basic properties.

(i) We denote by $W$ the space $W := \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$ of linear forms on $\mathbb{P}^3$. Consider the Koszul complex:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \otimes_k \bigwedge^2 W \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \otimes_k W \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \longrightarrow 0$$

corresponding the evaluation morphism $\delta_1$ and recall that $\operatorname{Ker}\delta_1 \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$. One gets an epimorphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \otimes_k \bigwedge^2 W \to \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)$ which induces an isomorphism $\bigwedge^2 W \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^0(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2))$. Recall, also, that $\delta_2(1) : \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \otimes_k \bigwedge^2 W \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \otimes_k W$ maps $h \wedge h'$ to $h \otimes h' - h' \otimes h$.

(ii) Let $L_1$, $L_2$ be disjoint lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$. They correspond to a decomposition $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$, where $W_i = \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{L_i}(1))$, $i = 1, 2$. Consider the linear automorphism $\alpha_{12} :=$

$(-\mathrm{id}_{W_1}) \oplus \mathrm{id}_{W_2} : W \to W$ and let $\theta_{12} : \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$ be the composite morphism:

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \otimes_k W \xrightarrow{\alpha_{12}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \otimes_k W \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)\,.$$

If $h_0$, $h_1$ (resp., $h_2$, $h_3$) is a $k$-basis of $W_1$ (resp., $W_2$) then, by what has been said in (i):

$$\theta_{12}(1)(h_0 \wedge h_1) = 0\,,\quad \theta_{12}(1)(h_0 \wedge h_2) = 2h_0h_2\,,\quad \theta_{12}(1)(h_0 \wedge h_3) = 2h_0h_3$$
$$\theta_{12}(1)(h_1 \wedge h_2) = 2h_1h_2\,,\quad \theta_{12}(1)(h_1 \wedge h_3) = 2h_1h_3\,,\quad \theta_{12}(1)(h_2 \wedge h_3) = 0\,.$$

One deduces that $\mathrm{Im}\,\theta_{12} = \mathscr{I}_{L_1 \cup L_2}(1)$ and that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \xrightarrow{\theta_{12}} \mathscr{I}_{L_1 \cup L_2}(1) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2}$$

where the left morphism is defined by the global sections $h_0 \wedge h_1$ and $h_2 \wedge h_3$ of $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)$.

(iii) Consider a third line $L_3$ disjoint from $L_1$ and $L_2$. One can choose a $k$-basis $h_0$, $h_1$ (resp., $h_2$, $h_3$) of $W_1$ (resp., $W_2$) such that $h := h_0 + h_2$, $h' := h_1 + h_3$ is a $k$-basis of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{L_3}(1))$. Then

$$\theta_{12}(1)(h \wedge h') = 2h_0h_3 - 2h_1h_2$$

is an equation of the unique quadric surface $Q$ containing $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$. The exact sequence (2) induces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1 \cup L_2, Q}(1) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3}$$

where the left morphism is defined by $h_0 \wedge h_1$, $h_2 \wedge h_3$ and $h \wedge h'$.

**Remark 8.** We recall here, for the reader's convenience, the definition of a particular case of the *Eagon-Northcott complex* and its basic property. Let $\phi : E \to F$ be a morphism of vector bundles on an nonsingular quasi-projective variety $X$. Assume that $E$ has rank $n$ and $F$ has rank $n-1$. Then the Eagon-Northcott complex associated to $\phi$ is the complex:

$$0 \longrightarrow F^\vee \otimes \bigwedge^n E \xrightarrow{d_2} \bigwedge^{n-1} E \xrightarrow{\bigwedge^{n-1}\phi} \bigwedge^{n-1} F$$

with $d_2$ defined by:

$$d_2(f \otimes e_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge e_n) = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} f(\phi(e_i)) e_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{e_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n\,.$$

The wedge product $E \times \bigwedge^{n-1} E \to \bigwedge^n E$ induces an isomorphism:

$$\bigwedge^{n-1} E \simeq \mathscr{H}om_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}}(E, \bigwedge^n E) \simeq E^\vee \otimes \bigwedge^n E$$

and, modulo this identification, $d_2$ can be identified with $\phi^\vee \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\bigwedge^n E}$.

It is a basic fact that if the degeneracy locus of $\phi$ has codimension 2 in $X$ (or it is empty) then the Eagon-Northcott complex is exact.

**Lemma 9.** *Under the hypothesis and with the notation from Lemma 3, consider, for $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$, the morphism $\theta_{ij} : \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$ with $\mathrm{Im}\,\theta_{ij} = \mathscr{I}_{L_i \cup L_j}(1)$, defined in Remark 7(ii). Let us, also, denote by $Q_{ijl}$ the quadric surface containing $L_i \cup L_j \cup L_l$, $i < j < l$. Then:*

(a) *The degeneracy scheme of*:

$$(\theta_{12},\, \theta_{34})^{\mathrm{t}} : \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$$

*is* $L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_4 \cup X$.

(b) *The degeneracy scheme of*:

$$(\theta_{12},\, \theta_{23},\, \theta_{34})^{\mathrm{t}} : \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$$

*is* $Q_{123} \cup Q_{234}$.

*Proof.* (a) Let us denote the morphism from the statement by $\phi$.

**Claim.** $\operatorname{Supp}\operatorname{Coker}\phi \subseteq L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_4 \cup X$.

*Indeed*, applying the Snake Lemma to the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) & = & \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_{12}} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{incl}_2} & 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1} & \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

one gets an exact sequence:

$$2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker}\phi \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L_2}(1) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

If $L_1$ (resp., $L_2$) has equations $h_0 = h_1 = 0$ (resp., $h_2 = h_3 = 0$) then $\partial$ is defined by $\theta_{34}(1)(h_0 \wedge h_1)$ and $\theta_{34}(1)(h_2 \wedge h_3)$. By Remark 7(iii), $\theta_{34}(1)(h_0 \wedge h_1)$ (resp., $\theta_{34}(1)(h_2 \wedge h_3)$) is an equation of $Q_{134}$ (resp., $Q_{234}$). Since, by Lemma 3, $Q_{134} \cap Q_{234} = L_3 \cup L_4 \cup X$ the claim follows.

Now, by Remark 8, the Eagon-Northcott complex associated to $\phi$ is an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \xrightarrow{(\theta_{12}^{\vee}(-1),\, \theta_{34}^{\vee}(-1))} \Omega^2_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2) \xrightarrow{\overset{2}{\bigwedge}\phi} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2) \tag{4}$$

(recall that $\Omega^2_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2) \simeq \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)$). One deduces that the map:

$$\mathrm{H}^0((\overset{2}{\bigwedge}\phi)(1)) : \mathrm{H}^0(\Omega^2_{\mathbb{P}^3}(3)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(3))$$

is injective hence its image has dimension 4. On the other hand, $\phi$ degenerates along $L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_4$ hence $\operatorname{Im}(\overset{2}{\bigwedge}\phi) \subseteq \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup\ldots\cup L_4}(2)$. Since

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup\ldots\cup L_4}(3)) = \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup\ldots\cup L_4\cup X}(3))$$

one deduces, from Lemma 4, that the image of $(\overset{2}{\bigwedge}\phi)(1)$ is $\mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup\ldots\cup L_4\cup X}(3)$.

(b) Let us denote by $\psi$ the morphism from the statement.

**Claim.** $\theta_{12},\, \theta_{23},\, \theta_{34} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1), \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$ *are linearly independent.*

*Indeed*, take a fifth line $L_5$, disjoint form each of the lines $L_1, \ldots, L_4$ and such that $L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_5$ admits no 5-secant. Let $h = h' = 0$ be equations of $L_5$. Then, according to Remark 7(iii), $\theta_{ij}(1)(h \wedge h')$ is an equation of $Q_{ij5}$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$. Since $Q_{345}$ does

not contain $L_2$, the equation of $Q_{345}$ cannot be a linear combination of the equations of $Q_{125}$ and $Q_{235}$. It follows that $\theta_{12}(1)(h \wedge h')$, $\theta_{23}(1)(h \wedge h')$ and $\theta_{34}(1)(h \wedge h')$ are linearly independent whence the claim.

Now, using the exact sequence (4), one deduces that

$$(\theta_{12}^{\vee},\, \theta_{23}^{\vee},\, \theta_{34}^{\vee}) : 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \simeq \Omega^2_{\mathbb{P}^3}(3)$$

does not degenerate on the whole $\mathbb{P}^3$ hence the same is true for $\psi$. It follows that the degeneracy scheme of $\psi$ is a surface $\Sigma$ of degree 4 in $\mathbb{P}^3$. But the exact sequences (2) and (3) from Remark 7 show that $(\theta_{12},\, \theta_{23})^{\mathrm{t}} : \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \to 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$ degenerates along $Q_{123}$. Analogously, $(\theta_{23},\, \theta_{34})^{\mathrm{t}} : \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \to 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$ degenerates along $Q_{234}$. It follows that $\Sigma = Q_{123} \cup Q_{234}$. □

**Lemma 10.** *Let $Z$ be a locally Cohen-Macaulay curve in $\mathbb{P}^3$, supported on a line $L$, and let $Q \supset L$ be a nonsigular quadric surface. If, for every line $L'$ from the other ruling of $Q$, one has $L' \cap Z =$ one simple point then $Z = L$ as schemes.*

*Proof.* We have to show that $\deg Z = 1$. Suppose that $\deg Z \geq 2$. Then $Z$ contains, as a subscheme, a double structure $X$ on L. One can assume that $L$ is the line of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ and that $Q$ is the quadric of equation $x_0x_3 - x_1x_2 = 0$. In this case, it is well known that $I(X) = (ax_3 - bx_2,\, x_2^2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2)$, with $a,\, b \in k[x_0, x_1]$ coprime homogeneous polynomials of the same degree.

Now, any line $L'$ from the other ruling of $Q$ can be represented parametrically as the image of a morphism :

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3\,,\ (u : t) \mapsto (c_0u : c_1u : c_0t : c_1t)$$

for some $(c_0, c_1) \in k^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. Choose $c_0$, $c_1$ such that $a(c_0, c_1)c_1 - b(c_0, c_1)c_0 = 0$. Then $ax_3 - bx_2 \,|\, L' = 0$ hence $L' \cap X$ is a double point on $L'$ which *contradicts* our hypothesis. □

**Remark 11.** Let $E$ be a rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^3$, $Z$ a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^3$ of dimension $\leq 1$ and $m$ an integer. Assume that one has an epimorphism $\sigma : E \to \mathscr{I}_Z(m)$. In this case $\mathscr{F} := \operatorname{Ker}\sigma$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf and one has an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(m) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Let $Z_{\mathrm{CM}}$ be the largest closed subscheme of $Z$ which is locally Cohen-Macaulay of pure dimension 1 (or empty if $\dim Z \leq 0$). One has an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{T} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_Z \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z_{\mathrm{CM}}} \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\dim \operatorname{Supp} \mathscr{T} \leq 0$. The Hilbert polynomial of $\mathscr{O}_Z$ has the form $\chi(\mathscr{O}_Z(t)) = dt + \chi(\mathscr{O}_Z)$, for some nonnegative integer $d$ which we denote by $\deg Z$ (such that $\deg Z = 0$ if $\dim Z \leq 0$). One has $\deg Z = \deg Z_{\mathrm{CM}}$ and $\chi(\mathscr{O}_Z) = \chi(\mathscr{O}_{Z_{\mathrm{CM}}}) + \operatorname{length} \mathscr{T}$.

We assert that, under the above hypotheses, one has $c_3(\mathscr{F}) = \operatorname{length} \mathscr{T}$ and :

$$c_1(E) = c_1(\mathscr{F}) + m\,,\quad c_2(E) = c_2(\mathscr{F}) + mc_1(\mathscr{F}) + \deg Z_{\mathrm{CM}}\,,$$
$$c_3(E) = -c_3(\mathscr{F}) + mc_2(\mathscr{F}) + (c_1(\mathscr{F}) - m + 4)\deg Z_{\mathrm{CM}} - 2\chi(\mathscr{O}_{Z_{\mathrm{CM}}})\,.$$

*Indeed*, it follows from [6, Prop. 2.6] that $c_3(\mathscr{F}) = \operatorname{length} \mathscr{E}xt^1(\mathscr{F}, \omega_{\mathbb{P}^3})$. But

$$\mathscr{E}xt^1(\mathscr{F}, \omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \simeq \mathscr{E}xt^2(\mathscr{I}_Z(m), \omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \simeq \mathscr{E}xt^3(\mathscr{O}_Z(m), \omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \simeq \mathscr{E}xt^3(\mathscr{T}(m), \omega_{\mathbb{P}^3})$$

and $\operatorname{length} \mathscr{E}xt^3(\mathscr{T}(m), \omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}) = \operatorname{length} \mathscr{T}$.

On the other hand, one can easily prove, using Riemann-Roch, that

$$c_1(\mathscr{I}_Z(m)) = m\,, \quad c_2(\mathscr{I}_Z(m)) = \deg Z\,, \quad c_3(\mathscr{I}_Z(m)) = (4-m)\deg Z - 2\chi(\mathscr{O}_Z)$$

and our assertion follows.

We are now ready to give the

*Proof of Lemma 2.* We begin by fixing some notation. Let us denote the union $L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_5$ by $Y$. For $1 \leq i < j < l \leq 5$, let $Q_{ijl}$ be the quadric surface containing $L_i \cup L_j \cup L_l$ and let $q_{ijl} \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2))$ be an equation of $Q_{ijl}$. Consider, also, for $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ the morphism

$$\theta_{ij} : \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$$

with image $\mathscr{I}_{L_i \cup L_j}(1)$ defined in Remark 7(ii). We denote by $t_{ij}$ the global section of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ corresponding to

$$\theta_{ij}^{\vee}(1) : \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \longrightarrow \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}\,.$$

Now, we will show that, for general constants $a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3 \in k$, the image of the morphism

$$\sigma := a_1 q_{345}\theta_{12} + a_2 q_{145}\theta_{23} + a_3 q_{125}\theta_{34} : \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(3)$$

is $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$.

*Indeed*, $\sigma^{\vee}(3) : \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \to \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)$ is defined by the global section

$$s := a_1 q_{345} t_{12} + a_2 q_{145} t_{23} + a_3 q_{125} t_{34}$$

of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)$. If $Z$ is the zero scheme of $s$ then the image of $\sigma$ is $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$. Since, by Lemma 9(b), the dependence locus of $t_{12}$, $t_{23}$, $t_{34}$ is $Q_{123} \cup Q_{234}$ and since, by Lemma 6 applied to the lines $L_3$, $L_4$, $L_1$, $L_2$, $L_5$, one has

$$Q_{345} \cap Q_{145} \cap Q_{125} = L_5 \cup \Gamma_4 \cup \Gamma_1\,,$$

with $\Gamma_4 \subset L_4$ and $\Gamma_1 \subset L_1$, it follows that if $a_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, then $Z \subseteq Q_{123} \cup Q_{234} \cup L_5$ as sets.

We formulate, now, three claims. In each of them one assumes that the constants $a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3$ are general.

**Claim 1.** *If $L \subset Q_{123}$ is a line intersecting $L_1$, $L_2$, $L_3$ then $L \cap Z = L \cap Y$ as schemes.*

**Claim 2.** *If $L \subset Q_{234}$ is a line intersecting $L_2$, $L_3$, $L_4$ then $L \cap Z = L \cap Y$ as schemes.*

**Claim 3.** *If $L \subset Q_{125}$ is a line intersecting $L_1$, $L_2$, $L_5$ then $L \cap Z = L \cap Y$ as schemes.*

Assuming the claims, for the moment, one deduces, from Lemma 10, that $Z_{\mathrm{CM}} = Y$ as schemes (see Remark 11 for the notation). Applying the Chern classes formulae from Remark 11 to the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow 0$$

(with $\mathscr{F} := \operatorname{Ker}\sigma$) one gets that $c_3(\mathscr{F}) = 0$ hence $Z = Z_{\mathrm{CM}}$ as schemes and Lemma 2 is proven.

Let us, finally, prove the three claims. We recall that, for every line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, one has $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \mid L \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_L(1)$. The zero scheme $Z(t_{ij} \mid L)$ of the global section $t_{ij} \mid L$ of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \mid L$ is $L \cap (L_i \cup L_j)$.

*Proof of Claim 1.* We denote by $P_i$ the intersection point of $L$ and $L_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. In case $L$ intersects $L_4$ (resp., $L_5$) we denote by $P_4$ (resp., $P_5$) their intersection point. One has to consider three cases.

- If $L \cap L_4 = \emptyset$ and $L \cap L_5 = \emptyset$ then, as divisors on $L$,

$$Z(t_{12} \mid L) = P_1 + P_2\,, \quad Z(t_{23} \mid L) = P_2 + P_3\,, \quad Z(t_{34} \mid L) = P_3\,,$$
$$L \cap Q_{345} = P_3 + P_3'\,, \quad L \cap Q_{145} = P_1 + P_1'\,, \quad L \cap Q_{125} = P_1 + P_2$$

for some points $P_1'$, $P_3'$ of $L$. It follows that, choosing a convenient isomorphism $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \mid L \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_L(1)$, one has

$$t_{12} \mid L = (f_{12}, 0, 0)\,, \quad t_{23} \mid L = (f_{23}, 0, 0)\,, \quad t_{34} \mid L = (f_{34}, \ell, 0)$$

with $0 \neq f_{12}$ vanishing at $P_1$ and $P_2$, with $0 \neq f_{23}$ vanishing at $P_2$ and $P_3$, and with $f_{34}$ and $0 \neq \ell$ vanishing at $P_3$. One deduces easily that if $a_3 \neq 0$ then $L \cap Z = P_1 + P_2 + P_3$ as divisors on $L$.

- If $L \cap L_4 \neq \emptyset$ then $L \cap L_5 = \emptyset$ and

$$Z(t_{12} \mid L) = P_1 + P_2\,, \quad Z(t_{23} \mid L) = P_2 + P_3\,, \quad Z(t_{34} \mid L) = P_3 + P_4\,,$$
$$L \cap Q_{345} = P_3 + P_4\,, \quad L \cap Q_{145} = P_1 + P_4\,, \quad L \cap Q_{125} = P_1 + P_2\,.$$

It follows that, for general $a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3 \in k$, $L \cap Z = P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4$ as divisors on $L$. Notice that there are at most two lines intersecting each of the lines $L_1$, $L_2$, $L_3$, $L_4$.

- If $L \cap L_5 \neq \emptyset$ then $L \cap L_4 = \emptyset$ and

$$Z(t_{12} \mid L) = P_1 + P_2\,, \quad Z(t_{23} \mid L) = P_2 + P_3\,, \quad Z(t_{34} \mid L) = P_3\,,$$
$$L \cap Q_{345} = P_3 + P_5\,, \quad L \cap Q_{145} = P_1 + P_5\,, \quad Q_{125} \supset L\,.$$

It follows that if $a_1$, $a_2 \in k$ are general and if $a_3 \in k$ is arbitrary then $L \cap Z = P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_5$ as divisors on $L$. Notice that are at most two lines intersecting each of the lines $L_1$, $L_2$, $L_3$, $L_5$.

*Proof of Claim 2.* One has to consider three cases.

- If $L \cap L_1 = \emptyset$ and $L \cap L_5 = \emptyset$ then, as divisors on $L$,

$$Z(t_{12} \mid L) = P_2\,, \quad Z(t_{23} \mid L) = P_2 + P_3\,, \quad Z(t_{34} \mid L) = P_3 + P_4\,,$$
$$L \cap Q_{345} = P_3 + P_4\,, \quad L \cap Q_{145} = P_4 + P_4'\,, \quad L \cap Q_{125} = P_2 + P_2'$$

for some points $P_2'$, $P_4'$ of $L$. One deduces, as in the first case of the proof of Claim 1, that if $a_1 \neq 0$ then $L \cap Z = P_2 + P_3 + P_4$ as divisors on $L$.

- If $L \cap L_1 \neq \emptyset$ then $L \cap L_5 = \emptyset$ and
$$Z(t_{12} \,|\, L) = P_1 + P_2\,, \quad Z(t_{23} \,|\, L) = P_2 + P_3\,, \quad Z(t_{34} \,|\, L) = P_3 + P_4\,,$$
$$L \cap Q_{345} = P_3 + P_4\,, \quad L \cap Q_{145} = P_1 + P_4\,, \quad L \cap Q_{125} = P_1 + P_2\,.$$

It follows that, for general $a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3 \in k$, $L \cap Z = P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4$ as divisors on $L$. Notice that there are at most two lines intersecting each of the lines $L_1$, $L_2$, $L_3$, $L_4$.

- If $L \cap L_5 \neq \emptyset$ then $L \cap L_1 = \emptyset$ and
$$Z(t_{12} \,|\, L) = P_2\,, \quad Z(t_{23} \,|\, L) = P_2 + P_3\,, \quad Z(t_{34} \,|\, L) = P_3 + P_4\,,$$
$$Q_{345} \supset L\,, \quad L \cap Q_{145} = P_4 + P_5\,, \quad L \cap Q_{125} = P_2 + P_5\,.$$

It follows that if $a_2$, $a_3 \in k$ are general and $a_1 \in k$ is arbitrary then $L \cap Z = P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + P_5$ as divisors on $L$. Notice that there are at most two lines intersecting each of the lines $L_2$, $L_3$, $L_4$, $L_5$.

*Proof of Claim 3.* One has to consider three cases.

- If $L \cap L_3 = \emptyset$ and $L \cap L_4 = \emptyset$ then, as divisors on $L$,
$$Z(t_{12} \,|\, L) = P_1 + P_2\,, \quad Z(t_{23} \,|\, L) = P_2\,, \quad Z(t_{34} \,|\, L) = \emptyset\,,$$
$$L \cap Q_{345} = P_5 + P_5'\,, \quad L \cap Q_{145} = P_1 + P_5\,, \quad Q_{125} \supset L$$

for some point $P_5'$ of $L$. One deduces, as in the first case of the proof of Claim 1, that if $a_2 \neq 0$ then $L \cap Z = P_1 + P_2 + P_5$ as divisors on $L$.

- If $L \cap L_3 \neq \emptyset$ then $L \cap L_4 = \emptyset$ and
$$Z(t_{12} \,|\, L) = P_1 + P_2\,, \quad Z(t_{23} \,|\, L) = P_2 + P_3\,, \quad Z(t_{34} \,|\, L) = P_3\,,$$
$$L \cap Q_{345} = P_3 + P_5\,, \quad L \cap Q_{145} = P_1 + P_5\,, \quad Q_{125} \supset L\,.$$

It follows that if $a_1$, $a_2 \in k$ are general and $a_3 \in k$ is arbitrary then $L \cap Z = P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_5$ as divisors on $L$. Notice that there are at most two lines intersecting each of the lines $L_1$, $L_2$, $L_3$, $L_5$.

- If $L \cap L_4 \neq \emptyset$ then $L \cap L_3 = \emptyset$ and
$$Z(t_{12} \,|\, L) = P_1 + P_2\,, \quad Z(t_{23} \,|\, L) = P_2\,, \quad Z(t_{34} \,|\, L) = P_4\,,$$
$$L \cap Q_{345} = P_4 + P_5\,, \quad Q_{145} \supset L\,, \quad Q_{125} \supset L\,.$$

It follows that if $a_1 \neq 0$ then $L \cap Z = P_1 + P_2 + P_4 + P_5$ as divisors on $L$.

This concludes the proof of the three claims above and, consequently, of Lemma 2. □

**Remark 12.** We do not know how to characterize the 4-instantons $F$ with $F(2)$ globally generated. Actually, we cannot answer even a simpler question : as we recalled at the beginning of the paper, if $F$ is a 4-instanton then $F(4)$ is globally generated. Is it true that if $F$ has no jumping line of maximal order 4 then $F(3)$ is globally generated ?

**Acknowledgements.** The special form of the morphisms $\sigma : \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(3)$ used in the proof of Lemma 2 was "guessed" after several experiments using the progam `Macaulay2` of Grayson and Stillman [4]. N. Manolache expresses his thanks to Udo Vetter and the Institute of Mathematics, Oldenburg University, for warm hospitality during the preparation of this paper.

## References

[1] C. Anghel, I. Coandă, N. Manolache, *Globally generated vector bundles with small $c_1$ on projective spaces*, Memoirs of the AMS (to appear), also `arXiv:1305.3464`.
[2] L. Chiodera, Ph. Ellia, *Rank two globally generated vector bundles with $c_1 \leq 5$*, Rend. Istit. Univ. Trieste **44** (2012), 413–422.
[3] J. D'Almeida, *Une involution sur un espace de modules de fibrés instantons*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **128** (2000), 577–584.
[4] D.R. Grayson, M.E. Stillman, *Macaulay2*, a software system for research in algebraic geometry, available at `http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/`
[5] L. Gruson, M. Skiti, 3-*Instantons et réseaux de quadriques*, Math. Ann. **298** (1994), 253–273.
[6] R. Hartshorne, *Stable reflexive sheaves*, Math. Ann. **254** (1980), 121–176.
[7] R. Hartshorne, A. Hirschowitz, *Cohomology of a general instanton bundle*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., $4^e$ série, **15** (1982), 365–390.
[8] S. Mori, *On degrees and genera of curves on smooth quartic surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$*, Nagoya Math. J. **96** (1984), 127–132.
[9] C. Peskine, L. Szpiro, *Liaison des variétés algébriques (I)*, Invent. Math. **26** (1974), 271–302.
[10] S. Popescu, *On the splitting criterion of Chiantini and Valabrega*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **33** (1988), 883–887.
[11] O. Rahavandrainy, *Résolution des fibrés instantons généraux*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I, **325** (1997), 189–192.

Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1–764, RO–014700, Bucharest, Romania

*E-mail address*: `Iustin.Coanda@imar.ro Cristian.Anghel@imar.ro Nicolae.Manolache@imar.ro`